

NO.	STUDY TITLE	DATE/PLACE	SCIENTIST	BRIEF RESULTS	TYPE OF STUDY
01	Performance Evaluation and Clinical Study Report for FORA COMFORT Basic G20 Blood Glucose Monitoring System	Mar 2013 Taiwan	Fora Care Laboratory	95.9% results fell within $\pm 15\%$ at glucose levels of 100 mg/dL or above. 100% results fell within ± 15 mg/dL at glucose levels below 100 mg/dL.	Clinical validation
02	Evaluation of system accuracy of FORA COMFORT plus voice V30 blood glucose monitoring system	Jan 2013 Taiwan	Fora Care Laboratory	98.1% results fell within $\pm 15\%$ at glucose levels of 100 mg/dL or above. 100% results fell within ± 15 mg/dL at glucose levels below 100 mg/dL.	Clinical validation
03	Evaluation of system accuracy of FORA Diamond PRIMA blood glucose monitoring system	Jan 2013 Czech	Dr. Tomáš Zima Reference Laboratory of the Ministry of Health for Clinical Chemistry	98.5% results fell within $\pm 15\%$ at glucose levels of 5.56 mmol/L or above. 98.6% results fell within ± 0.83 mmol/L at glucose levels below 5.56 mmol/L.	Clinical validation
04	Evaluation of system accuracy of FORA Diamond MINI blood glucose monitoring system	Jan 2013 Czech	Dr. Tomáš Zima Reference Laboratory of the Ministry of Health for Clinical Chemistry	98.4% results fell within $\pm 15\%$ at glucose levels of 5.56 mmol/L or above. 100% results fell within ± 0.83 mmol/L at glucose levels below 5.56 mmol/L.	Clinical validation
05	Comparison of glucose meters with heparinized whole blood (Vergleich der Glukosemessgeräte mit Heparin-Vollblut)	Dec 2012 Switzerland	Dr. R. Fried University Hospital Zurich	FORA COMFORT pro GD40 Results fell within $\pm 7.19\%$ (average) at glucose level of 16.47 mmol/L. Results fell within ± 0.26 mmol/L (average) at glucose level of 5.07 mmol/L. Diamond MINI Results fell within $\pm 13.28\%$ (average) at glucose level of 16.47 mmol/L. Results fell within ± 0.56 mmol/L (average) at glucose level of 5.07 mmol/L.	Clinical validation
06	Comparison of FORA COMFORT pro GD40 blood glucose monitoring system with a hexokinase method	Nov 2011 Taiwan	Fora Care Laboratory	All results obtained from FORA COMFORT pro GD40 meets the criteria of ISO 15197 standard.	Comparison with a reference standard method
07	Assessment of the reliability of FORA COMFORT advance G31b blood glucose monitoring system	Aug 2011 France	Dr. Joëlle Goudable Centre Hospitalier Lyon sud	Excellent repeatability and reproducibility, and met accuracy requirements.	Clinical validation
08	Evaluation of system accuracy of FORA Diamond PRIMA DM10 blood glucose self-monitoring system	Aug 2011 Germany	IDT*	100% results fell within the $\pm 20\%$ limit specified in ISO15197	Clinical validation

* IDT = Institute for Diabetes-Technology GmbH

NO.	STUDY TITLE	DATE/PLACE	SCIENTIST	BRIEF RESULTS	TYPE OF STUDY
09	Evaluation of system accuracy of COMFORT advance G31a blood glucose self-monitoring system	Aug 2011 Germany	IDT*	99.5% results fell within the $\pm 20\%$ limit specified in ISO15197	Clinical validation
10	Evaluation of system accuracy of FORA COMFORT basic GD20 blood glucose self-monitoring system	Aug 2011 Germany	IDT*	100% results fell within the $\pm 20\%$ limit specified in ISO15197	Clinical validation
11	Evaluation of system accuracy and precision of FORA COMFORT lux GD50 blood glucose monitoring system	Jun 2011 Taiwan	Fora Care Laboratory	Slope of regression line were 1.0059 and 0.9964 for capillary and venous blood respectively. Coefficient of variation was well below 5%	Clinical validation
12	Evaluation of system accuracy of FORA COMFORT Pro GD40 blood glucose monitoring system	Oct 2010 Germany	IDT*	98.5% results fell within the $\pm 20\%$ limit specified in ISO15197	Clinical validation
13	Clinical validation of FORA COMFORT basic G20 Blood Glucose self-monitoring system	Jun 2010 Sweden	Prof. Peter M Nilsson The Malmö University	100% results fell within the $\pm 20\%$ limit	Clinical validation
14	Clinical validation of FORA COMFORT plus voice V30 blood glucose self-monitoring system	Jun 2010 Sweden	Prof. Peter M Nilsson The Malmö University	97% results fell within the $\pm 20\%$ limit	Clinical validation
15	Survey of the accuracy of 12 different systems for self-measurement of blood glucose according to DIN EN ISO 15197 (FORA G11 equivalent to Glucotest 4230)	May 2010 Germany	IDT*	99% results fell within the ISO standard limits	Clinical validation
16	Clinical validation of FORA S10a POCT blood glucose monitoring system	May 2010 Sweden	Prof. Peter M Nilsson The Malmö University	97% results fell within the $\pm 20\%$ limit	Clinical validation
17	Accuracy of FORA G10 blood glucose monitoring system	Taiwan	Fora Care Laboratory	100% of individual results fell within $\pm 20\%$ indicating clinical accuracy.	Clinical validation
18	Performance evaluation and clinical study report for FORA G20 blood glucose monitoring system	Taiwan	Fora Care Laboratory	98% of individual results fell within $\pm 20\%$ indicating clinical accuracy.	Clinical validation
19	Performance evaluation and clinical study report for FORA G30 blood glucose monitoring system	Taiwan	Fora Care Laboratory	99% of individual results fell within $\pm 20\%$ indicating clinical accuracy.	Clinical validation
20	Performance evaluation and clinical study report for FORA COMFORT basic voice V10 blood glucose monitoring system	Taiwan	Fora Care Laboratory	100% of individual results fell within $\pm 20\%$ indicating clinical accuracy.	Clinical validation
21	Accuracy report for FORA V11 blood glucose monitoring system	Taiwan	Fora Care Laboratory	97% of individual results fell within $\pm 20\%$ indicating clinical accuracy.	Clinical validation
22	Accuracy report for FORA V12 blood glucose monitoring system	Taiwan	Fora Care Laboratory	99% of individual results fell within $\pm 20\%$ indicating clinical accuracy.	Clinical validation

* IDT = Institute for Diabetes-Technology GmbH

NO.	STUDY TITLE	DATE/PLACE	SCIENTIST	BRIEF RESULTS	TYPE OF STUDY
23	Clinical evaluation of FORA G10 blood glucose monitoring system with capillary whole blood	China	Yen-Ni Chang	100% of individual results fell within $\pm 20\%$ indicating clinical accuracy.	Clinical validation
24	Inspection report relating to blood glucose monitor with type: FORA G11 , according to the TNO quality guidelines PG / TG / 2001.044 and PG / TG / 2001.045	Germany	Fora Care Laboratory	The blood glucose monitor FORA G11 meets the requirements of measurement accuracy and reproducibility as stated in the above mentioned TNO Quality Guidelines.	Clinical validation
25	Performance evaluation of glucose monitoring system FORA G12	Germany	Fora Care Laboratory	The blood glucose monitor FORA G12 meets the criteria of ISO 15197:2003 that was tested.	Clinical validation
26	The clinical accuracy evaluation of FORA G11	Taiwan	Fora Care Laboratory	The measured values of different site perform well in correlation with a standard reference YSI 2300 analyzer and Lab. reference Beckman analyzer.	Clinical validation
27	Accuracy of FORA G10 meter in clinical use.	Dec 2009 China	J&J LifeScan, Shanghai	FORA G10 passed the comparison test against YSI.	Clinical validation
28	Correlation analysis of HbA1c and pre-prandial plasma glucose in diabetes complications. FORA Tele-Health System was used.	May 2009 Taiwan	Yen-Ni Chang	HbA1c might not provide a relevant assay for glycemic control in nephropathy and neuropathy patients. Increased A1c in retinopathy patients.	Comparison between two groups
29	Evaluation of SMBG behavior models and the performance of continuous health education in diabetes. FORA Tele-Health System was used.	Sep 2009 Taiwan	H.L. Wu	Significant difference was demonstrated, HbA1c improved from 7,64 to 7,38.	Comparison between two groups
30	Application of ADRR combination with HbA1c for evaluation of SMBG. FORA Tele-Health System was used.	Oct 2009 Taiwan	H.L. Wu	Significant reduction of HbA1c level in patients with poor metabolic control.	Comparison between two groups
31	Long term experiences evaluation of improved glycemic control, in 6 year diabetes cohort. FORA Tele-Health System was used.	Oct 2009 Taiwan	K.D. Chen	Quality of diabetes care was improved by the cooperative model. Good improvement of HbA1c.	Comparison between two groups